

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO
09/988,019	11/16/2001	Pamela A. Sokol	024916-010	7683
75	90 10/21/2003		EXAM	INER
Teresa Stanek Rea BURNS, DOANE, SWECKER & MATHIS, L.L.P. P.O. Box 1404 Alexandria, VA 22313-1404			TURNER, SHARON L	
			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
			1647	
			DATE MAILED: 10/21/2003	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

	,	Application No.	Applicant(s)				
Office Action Summary		09/988,019	SOKOL ET AL.				
		Examin r	Art Unit				
		Sharon L. Turner	1647				
Th MAILING DATE of this communication app ars on the cover sh t with the corresp nd nce address Period for Reply							
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 1 MONTH(S) FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timely filed after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a reply within the statutory minimum of thirty (30) days will be considered timely. - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, cause the application to become ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133). - Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of this communication, even if timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).							
1)⊠	Status 1)⊠ Responsive to communication(s) filed on <u>16 November 2001</u> .						
2a)[This action is FINAL . 2b)⊠ This action is non-final.						
3) Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is							
closed in accordance with the practice under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213. Disposition of Claims							
4)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-24</u> is/are pending in the application.							
4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration.							
5)	Claim(s) is/are allowed.						
6)	6) Claim(s) is/are rejected.						
7)	7) Claim(s) is/are objected to.						
8) Claim(s) 1-24 are subject to restriction and/or election requirement.							
Application Papers							
9)☐ The specification is objected to by the Examiner.							
10)☐ The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a)☐ accepted or b)☐ objected to by the Examiner.							
Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).							
11) The proposed drawing correction filed on is: a) approved b) disapproved by the Examiner.							
If approved, corrected drawings are required in reply to this Office action.							
12) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner.							
Priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 119 and 120							
13) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).							
a) All b) Some * c) None of:							
	 1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received. 2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No 						
3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.							
14) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(e) (to a provisional application).							
a) ☐ The translation of the foreign language provisional application has been received. 15)☐ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 120 and/or 121.							
Attachment(s)							
1) Notic	te of References Cited (PTO-892) te of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) mation Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449) Paper No(s)	5) 🔲 Notice of Informal F	(PTO-413) Paper No(s) Patent Application (PTO-152)				

Application/Control Number: 09/988,019 Page 2

Art Unit: 1647

Election/Restriction

1. Claims 1-24 are pending.

Improper Markush

- 2. Prior to setting forth the restriction requirement, it is pointed out that applicants have presented instant claims in improper Markush format, see Ex parte Markush, 1925 C.D. 126, In re Weber, 198 USPQ 334 and MPEP 803.02 and 806.04. The claims are improperly set forth as the genus claims encompassing multiple products, as identified and claimed, fail to share the characteristics of a genus, i.e., a common utility and a substantial structural feature essential to the disclosed utility. Alternatively, the claims define multiple structurally distinct compounds capable of different use, with different modes of operation, different function and different effects. A reference against one of the claimed components or methods would not be a reference against the other. Therefore, the restriction will be set forth for each of the various groups, irrespective of the improper format of the claims, because the claims define inventions which are not proper species.
- 3. Restriction to one of the following inventions is required under 35 U.S.C. 121:
- I. Claims 1-15 in part drawn to peptides and immunogenic compositions, classified for example in class 530, subclass 350.
- II. Claims 16-21 in part drawn to a method of protecting a susceptible host, classified for example in class 424, subclass 184.1.
- III. Claim 22 in part drawn to an antibody or antiserum, classified for example in class 530, subclass 387.1.
- IV. Claim 23 in part drawn to a nucleic acid, classified for example in class 536, subclass

Application/Control Number: 09/988,019 Page 3

Art Unit: 1647

23.1.

V. Claim 24 in part drawn to a method of producing a vaccine, classified for example in class 514, subclass 2.

- 4. The inventions are distinct, each from the other because of the following reasons:
- 5. Inventions I and III-IV are related as products. The products are distinct each from the other as the products are comprised of divergent structure, exhibit different effects and function; for example nucleic acids, peptides and antibodies.
- 6. Inventions II and V are related as processes. The processes are distinct each from the other as the processes differ in reagents, steps, functions and effects.
- 7. Inventions I, III-IV and II, V are related as products and processes of use. The inventions can be shown to be distinct if either or both of the following can be shown: (1) the processes for using the products as claimed can be practiced with another materially different product or (2) the products as claimed can be used in a materially different process of using that product (MPEP § 806.05(h)). In the instant case the processes for using the different nucleic acids, peptides and antibodies can be practiced with alternative nucleic acids, peptides and antibodies and the products as claimed can be used alternatively in a method of treatment, a method of making nucleic acids, peptides and antibodies, a method of screening compounds, and a method for detecting compositions.
- 8. Furthermore, in addition to the election of one of the above V groups, further restriction is required under 35 U.S.C. 121 as set forth below to delineate the molecular embodiments to which the claims will be restricted in accordance with the elected group:
 - A) A single designated nucleic acid selected from nucleic acids encoding SEQ ID NO's:

Application/Control Number: 09/988,019 Page 4

Art Unit: 1647

1, 3, 11 and 14.

- B) A single polypeptide selected from SEQ ID NO's: 1-17.
- C) A single designated antibody that specifically binds to the polypeptide selected from SEQ ID NO's: 1, 3, 11 and 14.
- 9. The inventions are distinct, each from the other because of the following reasons:
- 10. Although there are no provisions under the section for "Relationship of Inventions" in MPEP 806.05 for inventive groups that are directed to different products, restriction is deemed to be proper because the products indicated in groups A-C constitute patentably distinct inventions for the following reasons. Each of the polynucleotides, polypeptides and antibodies have a unique structural feature which requires a unique search of the prior art. The inventions indicated as A-C differ in structure and function as they are composed of divergent nucleic and amino acids and are differentially able to hybridize, bind or mediate biological functions. A reference to one element would not constitute a reference to another. In addition, searching all of the molecules in a single patent application would provide an undue search burden on the examiner and the USPTO's resources because the indicated searches are not co-extensive.
- 11. Because these inventions are distinct for the reasons given above and have acquired a separate status in the art as shown by their different classification, restriction for examination purposes as indicated is proper.
- 12. Because these inventions are distinct for the reasons given above and the search required for any Group is not required for any other Group, restriction for examination purposes as indicated is proper.
- 13. Because these inventions are distinct for the reasons given above and have acquired a

Application/Control Number: 09/988,019

Art Unit: 1647

separate status in the art because of their recognized divergent subject matter, restriction for examination purposes as indicated is proper.

- 14. Applicant is advised that the reply to this requirement to be complete must include an election of the invention to be examined even though the requirement be traversed (37 CFR 1.143). In order to be fully responsive, Applicant is required to elect a single group from designated groups I-V and a single molecular embodiment from each of designated groups A-C to which the claims will be restricted, even though the requirement is traversed. Applicant is advised that neither I-V nor A-C are species election requirements; rather each of I-V and A-C are restriction requirements. The subject matter for examination will be restricted to the extent of the subject matter of the elected groups. It is noted that while one of A-C may not be applicable to one of I-V, applicant must elect one of each in order to be fully compliant.
- 15. Applicant is reminded that upon the cancellation of claims to a non-elected invention, the inventorship must be amended in compliance with 37 CFR 1.48(b) if one or more of the currently named inventors is no longer an inventor of at least one claim remaining in the application. Any amendment of inventorship must be accompanied by a petition under 37 CFR 1.48(b) and by the fee required under 37 CFR 1.17(i).
- 16. Any inquiry of a general nature or relating to the status of this general application should be directed to the Group receptionist whose telephone number is (703) 308-0196.

Papers relating to this application may be submitted to Technology Center 1600, Group 1640 by facsimile transmission. The faxing of such papers must conform with the notice published in the Official Gazette, 1096 OG 30 (November 15, 1989). Should applicant wish to FAX a response, the current FAX number for Group 1600 is (703) 308-4242.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Sharon L. Turner, Ph.D. whose telephone number is (703) 308-0056. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday-Thursday from 8:00 AM to 6:30 PM.

Application/Control Number: 09/988,019

Art Unit: 1647

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Gary Kunz, can be reached at (703) 308-4623.

Page 6

Sharon L. Turner, Ph.D.

Sonner

October 20, 2003